CHECKLIST ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

Project Name: Terrett Windbreak Project

Proposed

Implementation Date:Fall 2011Proponent:Curt TerrettLocation:T1S-R45E-Sec 11County:Powder River County

I. TYPE AND PURPOSE OF ACTION

Curt Terrett the surface lessee of the parcel of state trust land mentioned above has requested permission to cut a small volume of soil out of a hill face in order to create a natural windbreak for cattle. This project is less than 1/2 acre in size and a volume less than 300 yards.

II. PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

1. PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT, AGENCIES, GROUPS OR INDIVIDUALS CONTACTED:

Provide a brief chronology of the scoping and ongoing involvement for this project.

The proponent has made a request to the DNRC eastern land office for creation a natural windbreak. An improvement form will be filed once final costs are determined. ELO field staff conducted a review of the project area on November 2, 2011.

2. OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES WITH JURISDICTION, LIST OF PERMITS NEEDED:

None

3. ALTERNATIVES CONSIDERED:

Alternative A- Grant permission to create a cut in the hill face.

Alternative B- No Action

III. IMPACTS ON THE PHYSICAL ENVIRONMENT

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

4. GEOLOGY AND SOIL QUALITY, STABILITY AND MOISTURE:

Consider the presence of fragile, compactable or unstable soils. Identify unusual geologic features. Specify any special reclamation considerations. Identify any cumulative impacts to soils.

Alternative A- There are no fragile, compactable or unstable soils within the scope of the project. A small volume of soil will be removed and spread into low areas in order to bring them up to level. All construction will be performed in a way as to limit potential erosion.

Alternative B- No Impact

5. WATER QUALITY, QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION:

Identify important surface or groundwater resources. Consider the potential for violation of ambient water quality standards, drinking water maximum contaminant levels, or degradation of water quality. Identify cumulative effects to water resources.

Alternative A-Minimal runoff of particulates could be expected until loose fill has time to settle. All construction will be done in a manner to contain any runoff. No groundwater resources should be disturbed.

Alternative B- No Impact.

6. AIR QUALITY:

What pollutants or particulate would be produced? Identify air quality regulations or zones (e.g. Class I air shed) the project would influence. Identify cumulative effects to air quality.

Alternative A- No impact expected

Alternative B- No impact

7. VEGETATION COVER, QUANTITY AND QUALITY:

What changes would the action cause to vegetative communities? Consider rare plants or cover types that would be affected. Identify cumulative effects to vegetation.

Alternative A- Vegetative cover will be disturbed in the area of construction. Species on the site include Western Wheatgrass (agropyron smithii), Blue Bunch Wheatgrass (agropyron spicatum), Little Bluestem (schizachyrium scoparium), Prairie Sandreed (calamovilfa longifolia), Blue Grama (bouteloua gracilis), Needle and Thread (stipa comata), Prairie Junegrass (koleria pyramidata) and various forbs. Vegetation will be removed by cutting by an established seed bank will remain in place and the site should recover fully within two growing seasons.

Alternative B- No Impact

8. TERRESTRIAL, AVIAN AND AQUATIC LIFE AND HABITATS:

Consider substantial habitat values and use of the area by wildlife, birds or fish. Identify cumulative effects to fish and wildlife.

Alternative A-There may be minimal disruption to the wildlife that inhabit the area. Disruption may occur during the duration of the project. After completion the natural windbreak will provide a benefit to wildlife as well as livestock.

Alternative B- No Impact

9. UNIQUE, ENDANGERED, FRAGILE OR LIMITED ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES:

Consider any federally listed threatened or endangered species or habitat identified in the project area. Determine effects to wetlands. Consider Sensitive Species or Species of special concern. Identify cumulative effects to these species and their habitat.

Alternative A-There is no evidence of any sensitive species habitats in the scope of the project. No significant impact

Alternative B- No Impact

10. HISTORICAL AND ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITES:

Identify and determine effects to historical, archaeological or paleontological resources.

Alternative A- Upon inspection by ELO field staff no historical or archaeological sites were noted. No impact expected.

Alternative B- No Impact

11. AESTHETICS:

Determine if the project is located on a prominent topographic feature, or may be visible from populated or scenic areas. What level of noise, light or visual change would be produced? Identify cumulative effects to aesthetics.

Alternative A- This may permanently change the appearance of the landscape. The project should not be visible from a populated area. The project will take place at the base of a small hill where it will be obscured. Within two growing seasons the site should appear like the surroundings.

Alternative B- No Impact

12. DEMANDS ON ENVIRONMENTAL RESOURCES OF LAND, WATER, AIR OR ENERGY:

Determine the amount of limited resources the project would require. Identify other activities nearby that the project would affect. Identify cumulative effects to environmental resources.

Alternative A- No Impact expected

Alternative B- No Impact

13. OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS PERTINENT TO THE AREA:

List other studies, plans or projects on this tract. Determine cumulative impacts likely to occur as a result of current private, state or federal actions in the analysis area, and from future proposed state actions in the analysis area that are under MEPA review (scoped) or permitting review by any state agency.

None

IV. IMPACTS ON THE HUMAN POPULATION

- RESOURCES potentially impacted are listed on the form, followed by common issues that would be considered.
- Explain POTENTIAL IMPACTS AND MITIGATIONS following each resource heading.
- Enter "NONE" If no impacts are identified or the resource is not present.

14. HUMAN HEALTH AND SAFETY:

Identify any health and safety risks posed by the project.

Alternative A- No impact expected

Alternative B- No Impact

15. INDUSTRIAL, COMMERCIAL AND AGRICULTURE ACTIVITIES AND PRODUCTION:

Identify how the project would add to or alter these activities.

Alternative A- It should have a positive effect on agricultural activities and production.

Alternative B- No Impact

16. QUANTITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF EMPLOYMENT:

Estimate the number of jobs the project would create, move or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to the employment market.

Alternative A- No Impact expected

Alternative B- No Impact

17. LOCAL AND STATE TAX BASE AND TAX REVENUES:

Estimate tax revenue the project would create or eliminate. Identify cumulative effects to taxes and revenue.

Alternative A- No Impact Expected

Alternative B- No Impact

18. DEMAND FOR GOVERNMENT SERVICES:

Estimate increases in traffic and changes to traffic patterns. What changes would be needed to fire protection, police, schools, etc.? Identify cumulative effects of this and other projects on government services

Alternative A- No impact expected

Alternative B- No impact

19. LOCALLY ADOPTED ENVIRONMENTAL PLANS AND GOALS:

List State, County, City, USFS, BLM, Tribal, and other zoning or management plans, and identify how they would affect this project.

Alternative A- No Impact

Alternative B- No Impact

20. ACCESS TO AND QUALITY OF RECREATIONAL AND WILDERNESS ACTIVITIES:

Identify any wilderness or recreational areas nearby or access routes through this tract. Determine the effects of the project on recreational potential within the tract. Identify cumulative effects to recreational and wilderness activities.

Alternative A- No Impact Expected

Alternative B- No Impact

21. DENSITY AND DISTRIBUTION OF POPULATION AND HOUSING:

Estimate population changes and additional housing the project would require. Identify cumulative effects to population and housing.

Alternative A- No Impact

Alternative B- No Impact

22. SOCIAL STRUCTURES AND MORES:

Identify potential disruption of native or traditional lifestyles or communities.

Alternative A- No Impact

Alternative B- No Impact

23. CULTURAL UNIQUENESS AND DIVERSITY:

How would the action affect any unique quality of the area?

Alternative A- No Impact

Alternative B- No Impact

24. OTHER APPROPRIATE SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CIRCUMSTANCES:

Estimate the return to the trust. Include appropriate economic analysis. Identify potential future uses for the analysis area other than existing management. Identify cumulative economic and social effects likely to occur as a result of the proposed action.

Alternative A- No monetary return to the trust is expected. This project would allow for increased shelter for livestock and wildlife in the area.

Alternative B- No impact expected

EA Checklist Prepared By:	Name:	Scott Aye	Date:	11-2-2011
	Title:	Land Use Specialist		

V. FINDING							
25. ALTERNATIVE SELECTED:							
Alternative A							
26. SIGNIFICANCE OF POTENTIAL IMPACTS:							
The proposed scoria pit of approximately 10 acres should not result in nor cause significant environmental impacts. For these reasons an environmental assessment checklist is the appropriate level of analysis for the proposed action.							
27. NEED FOR FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS:							
	EIS		More Detailed EA	X No Further Analysis			
	EA Checklist	Name:	Marc Aberg				
	Approved By:	Title:	Eastern Land Office Lands Program Manager				
Signature: /S/ Marc A Aberg				Date: 11-2-11			